



Compilation of the SANIRESCH-Factsheets

This compilation contains the factsheets of the MAP (struvite) reactor and the greywater as well as the brownwater treatment plant installed within the SANIRESCH project. The factsheets provide a detailed overview regarding technical aspects, analysis results and energy as well as investment costs.

Magnesium-Ammonium-Phosphate (MAP) reactor	Page 2
Brownwater treatment (membrane bioreactor)	Page 5
Greywater treatment (membrane bioreactor).	Page 8
General project information	Page 12



This figure illustrates the SANIRESCH concept:

Urine is stored temporarily in tanks. Later, struvite is precipitated in the MAP reactor and both, urine and struvite can be used as a fertiliser in agriculture. A part of the brownwater is treated by the membrane bioreactor and can be used as service water. The solids could be used after composting as a fertiliser in agriculture. The greywater from tea kitchens and hand wash basins is treated in a MBR as well and the permeate is used as service water for the brownwater pretreatment plant.

The red boxes mark the plants which are presented in these factsheets.



Magnesium-Ammonium-Phosphate (MAP) reactor





Magnesium-Ammonium-Phosphate (MAP) reactor

1 Process principle

Simplified equation:

Ammonium (NH₄⁺): Magnesium (Mg²⁺):

Phosphate (PO₄³⁻): MAP (MgNH₄PO₄): $NH_4^+ + Mg^{2+} + PO_4^{3-} \rightarrow MgNH_4PO_4$

Ammonium ion, available in excess in urine Magnesium ion, develops in the reaction chamber of the added MgO (magnesium oxide) Phosphate ion, present dissolved in urine Reaction product (also known as struvite)

2 Process technology

2.1 Removal of nutrients

P _{total} in influent:
P _{total} in effluent:
P removal:
N _{total} in influent:
N _{total} in effluent:
N removal:

180 mg/l (average) 36 - 72 mg/l 60 - 80 % 2700 mg/l 540 – 1080 mg/l 60 - 80 % (Probably mainly due to ventilation)

2.2 Cycle data and amount of urine

10 cycles per day Duration of one cycle: Urine flow rate: Per cycle: Amount treated: Usable urine storage: Duration to process 7.5 m³:

135 min
171 I/d
40 I (theoretically possible: 50 I)
400 I/d (theoretically possible: 500 I/d)
7.5 m³ (in 4 storage tanks)
4 weeks if operating at 5 days per week and at full load

3 MAP recovery

MAP recovery:

with technical grade MgO
 with analytical grade MgO
 Estimated recovery:
 MAP production with technical MgO:

50 - 65 % 90 - 95 % (only a few experiments in the laboratory) 0.8 g MAP_{dried} / I urine 263 g MAP/d 69 kg MAP/year



Magnesium-Ammonium-Phosphate (MAP) reactor

4 Operating costs

MgO bag:

- Total material costs
- > Bag material
- Bag content

Needle felt filter:

- > Costs
- > Life time
- > MAP loss

Nylon filter (alternative option):

- > Costs
- > MAP loss

World market price MAP: Value of the produced MAP: 0.31 €/bag polyvinyl alcohol 14 g MgO/bag (for cycle with 40 I urine)

3 €/filter bag single use 37 - 12 % (remains in the filter) (only a few experiments) 45 €/filter bag negligible loss

approx. 300 €/t (conservative estimate) 21 €/year

Theoretical costs (€) to fertilise 1 ha summer wheat for one year:¹

Urine	MAP (Pilot plant)	NPK (Mineral fertiliser)
560	112,000,-	120

Reason for the high MAP costs:

 at the moment there is a lot of manual labour necessary to produce MAP
 MAP reactor was a new development, therefore very high investments cost

5 Field tests near Bonn

Soil: Fertiliser: Supply level C (nutrient-rich soil) 100 - 140 kg N/ha for summer wheat, 40 kg N/ha for miscanthus 3-4 l/m² or 30-40 m³/ha (see table)

Urine application:

Date comparison:

	Data from Bonn	Technology Review ²
N concentration in urine (gN/I)	2.3 – 3.9	maximum 7
Amount per area (l/m ²)	3 – 4	1.5
N content per area (kgN/ha)	70 – 100	maximum 105

¹ Braum, C. (2011). Economical feasibility of using urine versus struvite as fertilizer. Using the example of GIZ in Eschborn. Bachelor thesis. Institute of Soil Sciences and Soil Conservation, Justus Liebig University Gießen, Germany

http://www.saniresch.de/images/stories/downloads/Bachelor%20Thesis%20Christina%20Braum.pdf

² von Muench, E., Winker, M. (2011). Technology review of urine diversion components - Overview on urine diversion components such as waterless urinals, urine diversion toilets, urine storage and reuse systems. Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH, Eschborn, Germany. http://www.susana.org/lang-en/library?view=ccbktypeitem&type=2&id=875



* SANIRESCH - Greywater treatment plant (MBR)

Federal Ministry of Education and Research

SPONSORED BY THE





1. Technology

Source of greywater:

Greywater inflow_{average}: Flowrate of permeate_{average}:

1.1 Volume

Pretreatment tank: Membrane bioreactor_{average}: Tank for service water: 7 kitchenettes with sinks and dishwashers, 2 sinks, 19 hand washbasins in toilets rooms, 10 washbasins for cleaning purposes approx. 500 - 600 l/d approx. 300 l/d

480 I 440 I (controlled by COD, TS and throughput) 480 I

1.2 Pretreatment

Mesh size of sieve: Cleaning of filter unit: Aeration of collection tank: SS in filtrate: 3 mm 3 times per day for 10 s 30 s/h (for mixing) 100 - 150 mg/l

1.3 Membrane filtration module

Type of membrane: Membrane surface & pore size: Material of membrane: Scouring air_{regular}: Scouring air_{energy saving}: Aeration_{regular}: Aeration_{energy saving}: Oxygen concentration: MBR feeding pump:

Permeate pump:

Operation of permeate pump_{overall}: Operation of permeate pump_{net}: Flowrate of permeate: Transmembrane pressure_{net}:

- > average
- > maximum possible Flux_{net}:
- average
 maximum possible
 Concentration of activated sludge:
 Removal of surplus sludge:

Plate membrane (MembranClearBox ®) 3.5 m², 38 nm PES (Polyethylensulfon) continuously 60 s operation, 60 s break 60 s operation, 60 s break 60 s operation, 360 s break 8.1 mg/l Automatically regulated according to filling level of MBR 20 h/d filtration: 270 s operation, 120 s break 4 h/d relaxation (no operation) 20 h/d 14 h/d (taking breaks into account) 22 l/h; equivalent 300 l/d (14 h of operation) -60 mbar -350 mbar (Flow rate of permeate through membrane) $6 l/(h x m^2)$ 30 l/(h x m²) 4 - 6 g TS /l



2. Analyses*

	COD (mg/l)	N _{total} (mg/l)	NO₃-N (mg/l)	NH4-N (mg/l)	P _{total} (mg/l)
Inflow	590 ± 170	14 ± 5.0	0.5 ± 0.2	0.4 ± 0.3	23 ± 14
Permeate	27 ± 5.5	12 ± 3.7	7.7 ± 2.6	0.01 ± 0	16 ± 3.1

* Concentrations with 95% confidence intervals.

COD-removal efficiency: 95 % Nutrient ratios in inflow: C : N : P = 100 : 2.1 : 5.2

Effect of dishwasher tabs:

P _{total} - content (mg/l)	Inflow	Permeate
Containing phosphate	35 ± 7.7	16 ± 3.3
Not containing phosphate	16 ± 10	16 ± 3.1

3. Use of permeate

Possible areas of application: (Complying with quality standards e.g. EU Bathing water directive) Process water for toilet flushing, heating, air conditioning, wash machines, irrigation

Uses in GIZ:

Scouring for the pre-treatment of the brownwater plant

4. Time spent on operation

The standard operation requires one scheduled maintenance event per year at which time an effluent sampling can also be analysed. Due to the research activities the time consumption is calculated as follows:

Maintenance: Analysis: Control of operation: 2 days every six months 3 - 4 h/week 3 h (divided over 2 days per week)

5. Energy consumption

The energy consumption is related to the plant component membrane bioreactor (see figure). These are design values, because no measures were done. The energy consumption can be higher than normal due to research activities.

Energy consumption: Specific energy consumption: Energy costs: 1.2 kWh/d (equivalent to 455 kWh/a)
2.1 kWh/m³
90 €/a (0.20 €/kWh)

6. Investment costs (without pretreatment)

Container, plant unit, control unit, 5,990 € (n membrane module

5,990 € (net, ex factory)



SANIRESCH – Brownwater treatment plant

SPONSORED BY THE





Brownwater treatment (MBR)

1 Technology

Source of brownwater:

Brownwater inflow_{average}: Flowrate of permeate_{average}: 38 Urine diverting flush toilets (Model NoMix, Roediger Vacuum)
2000 I/d
350 I/d (Difference to the total daily brownwater inflow is discharged via the sewer system)

1.1 Volume

Pretreatment tank: Membrane bioreactor_{average}: 400 | 670 |

1.2 Pretreatment

Hole size in the screenbasket:3 mmScreen rotationday:15 s operation, 60 s breakScreen rotationnight:15 s operation, 3600 s breakFlushing of screen:10 s inflow, 10 s break, 10 s outflow (10 times/24h)SS in filtrate:400 - 450 mg/l

1.3 Membrane filtration module

Type of membrane: Membrane surface & pore size: Material of membrane: Scouring air_{regular}: Scouring air_{energy saving}: Aeration_{regular}: Aeration_{energy saving}: Oxygen concentration: MBR feeding pump:

Permeate pump:

Operation of permeate pump_{overall}: Operation of permeate pump_{net}: Flowrate of permeate: Transmembrane pressure_{net}:

- average
- maximum possible

Flux_{net}:

> average

maximum possible
 Concentration of activated sludge:
 Removal of excess sludge:

Plate membrane (MembranClearBox ®) 3.5 m², 38 nm PES (Polyethylensulfone) continuously 60 s operation, 60 s break 60 s operation, 60 s break 60 s operation, 360 s break 7.3 mg/l Automatically regulated according to filling level of MBR 21 h/d filtration: 120 s operation, 60 s break 3 h/d relaxation (no operation) 21 h/d 14 h/d (taking breaks into account) 25 I/h; equivalent 350 I/d (14 h of operation) -50 mbar -350 mbar (Flow rate of permeate through membrane)

7.1 l/(h x m²) 30 l/(h x m²) 4 - 6 g/l TS

15 l/week (automatically)



1.4 Differences in operation of grey- and brownwater treatment

Apart from the pretreatment, the grey- and brownwater plants are technically similar. However, due to different characteristics of the influent the operation differs accordingly:

	Permeate pump	Permeate flowrate
Greywater treatment	270 s operation; 120 s break	23 l/h
Brownwater treatment	120 s operation; 60 s break	25 l/h

2 Analyses*

	COD (mg/l)	N _{total} (mg/l)	NO₃-N (mg/l)	NH₄-N (mg/l)	P _{total} (mg/l)
Inflow _{after pretreatment}	787 ± 200	70 ± 16	0.9 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.2	21 ± 6
Permeate	23 ± 4	76 ± 13	68 ± 10	0.04 ± 0.04	16 ± 5

* Concentrations with 95% confidence intervals

	E. coli	Intestinal enterococcus	Coliform bacteria
	(n/100ml)	(n/100ml)	(n/100ml)
Permeate	37	28	535

COD- removal efficiency: 97 % Nutrient ratios in inflow: C : N : P = 100 : 9 : 0.9

3 Use of permeate

Possible areas of application: (Complying with quality standards e.g. EU Bathing water directive) Process water for toilet flushing, heating, air conditioning, irrigation

Use in GIZ:

Due to technical reasons there is currently no reuse taking place.

4 Time spent on operation

The standard operation requires one scheduled maintenance event per year at which time an effluent sampling can also be analysed. Due to the research activities the time consumption is calculated as follows:

Maintenance:	2 days every six months
Analyses:	3 - 4 h/week
Checking the operation:	3 h (divided over two days per week)



5 Energy consumption

The energy consumption is mainly due to the plant component membrane bioreactor (see figure). These are design values, because no measures were done. The energy consumption can be higher than normal due to research activities.

Energy consumption: Specific energy consumption: Energy costs: 1.2 kWh/d (equivalent to 455 kWh/a)
2.1 kWh/m³
90 €/a (0.20 €/kWh)

6 Investment costs (without pretreatment)

Container, plant unit, control unit, membrane module

5,990 € (net, ex factory)



General project information

Project partners (all in Germany)

Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH Sustainable sanitation – ecosan program

Dag-Hammarskjöld-Weg 1-5 65760 Eschborn HUBER SE Industriepark Erasbach A1 92334 Berching

RWTH AachenFInstitute for Environmental Engineering (ISA)FInstitute of Sociology (IfS)F52056 AachenF

Roediger Vacuum GmbH Kinzigheimer Weg 104-106 63450 Hanau

THM University of Applied Sciences Wiesenstraße 14 35390 Gießen **University Bonn** INRES - Department of Plant Nutrition Karlrobert-Kreiten-Strasse 13 53115 Bonn

Contact

Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH

Sustainable sanitation – ecosan program Dag-Hammarskjöld-Weg 1-5 65760 Eschborn, Germany

Dr.-Ing. Martina Winker E-mail: <u>martina.winker@giz.de</u> / <u>saniresch@giz.de</u> Phone: +49 (0)6196-79 3298

Further project information

http://www.saniresch.de/en www.facebook.com/saniresch

Imprint

MAP factsheet:	Martina Winker, Amel Saadoun
	Updated on: 31.07.2012
Greywater factsheet:	Martina Winker, Amel Saadoun, Fanny Kilian
-	Updated on: 31.07.2012
Brownwater factsheet:	Enno Schröder, Martina Winker, Fanny Kilian
	Updated on: 31.07.2012