FORTIFIED EXCRETA PELLETS FOR AGRICULTURE An update on research in Ghana

Josiane Nikiema, O Cofie, R Impraim, Pay Drechsel

Researcher – Environmental Sciences West Africa Office – Accra, Ghana Phone: (+233) 302 784 753/4 Skype: Josiane.nikiema Fax: (+233) 302 784 752 Email: J.Nikiema@cgiar.org



Water for a food-secure world



What we did so far

Ghana: 24.2 Million inhabitants, 95% depending on on-site sanitation

Research in Ghana from 2000 to date

- Direct application of urine to soil
- Land application of FS
- Extended storage of FS
- Composting of Feces
- Co-composting of FS and solid waste
- Fortification (blending) of fecal compost with fertilizer
- Agronomic trials (crop and soil response, safety)





Aim of the BMGF project

- Pelletization of FS based materials to increase the marketability
 - Increase general acceptability
 - Increase the ease of handling and placement
 - Improve fertilizer use efficiency
- Key partners: 3 Universities, 1 Municipality, 1 Research Institute





Water for a food-secure world

Step 1. Drying step

Over 2 tonnes DFS produced

- Size: 240 m² per drying bed
- 18 tankers needed
 - 6 public latrines; Average retention time at source:2.4 weeks
 - 12 Septic tanks: Average retention time at source:
 1.6 years





Step 2. Composting FS + Sawdust or market waste

Three different formulations



Temperature change and water added during composting of DFS



Step 3. Grinding

• Capacity: 450 kg/hr







Water for a food-secure world



Step 4. Enrichment

- Enrichment: allows to align the composition to the needs for different crops and soil
- Also contributes to further sanitization



Step 5. Pelletization

- Capacity: 100 kg/hr
- Water and binders are also added
 - 2 binders tested: Clay,
 Irradiated/pre-gelatinized
 starch







Critical factors for pelletization

- Moisture content
- Minimizing loss (fine materials generated during production)
- Pellet stability
- Bulk density: at least 20-50 % reduction
- Disintegration time (nutrient availability timing)



Water for a food-secure world





Disintegration time (hours)



Binder good for transport stability And also for breakdown in soil

t = 46 hr, 5% pregelatinized starch

t = 46 hr, 5% irradiated starch

On-going greenhouse/field experiments

Tested products

- A. EC-SDFS with 3% pre-gelatinized (PG) starch
- B. EC-DFS with 3% PG starch
- C. EC-DFS with 3% irradiated (IR) starch poul
- D. I-DFS with 3% IR starch
- Application rates: 150 & 210 kg N/ha Crops: maize and cabbage
- Two (2) soil types

- E. Inorganic fertilizer (IF)
- F. Soil only
- G. Commercial organic fertilizer or

poultry manure

<image>

Soil 1 (good forest soil)

At flowering



Soil 2 (typically depleted soil)

At flowering



Summary of results (I)



| Challenge | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|
| Disease | Fortifer is safe (total CF, E. coli, helminths, heavy metals) | | |
| incidence | | | |
| Transportation | With pellets 50-80 % of the initial volume remains | | |
| Handling | Fortifer pellets are strong and do not easily break | | |
| Perception | Preliminary market analysis in 4 selected regions of | | |
| | Ghana confirmed positive response to pellets | | |
| Application | Dust-free process. | | |
| | Targeted application of specific amounts. | | |
| | Composition and nutrient release of the pellets can be | | |
| | steered according to crop. | | |







Accompanying demand study

- 1. Identification of market segments (customers)
- 2. Quantification of segment size and locations
- 3. Perception studies, WTP, ATP
- 4. Comparison of WTP with likely costs of compost production and transport for customer
 - \rightarrow First assessment of market demand

 FS co-compost
 Pelletized, fortified FS co-compost (different N-P-K configurations)



Water for a food-secure world





Market segments for perception and WTP studies

Urban farming systems:

- 1) Market oriented vegetable farmers
- 2) Staple crop farmers
- 3) Backyard farmers
- 4) Ornamental flower producers

Peri-urban farming systems:

- 1) Vegetable farmers,
- 2) Staple crop farmers
- 3) Fruits plantations (pineapple)
- 4) Cotton, cocoa, rubber, palm oil plantations (often outgrower systems)

Real estate developers (housing) and landscape designers

Parks & Gardens (municipal departments)



Water for a food-secure world

www.iwmi.org



Not yet explored

- Mining companies (land rehabilitation)
- Irrigation schemes (paddy)
- Forest plantations
- Regional expansion (Burkina)

Some results from demand analysis

- 80% of farmers show positive perceptions and are willing to use and pay for FS co-compost (n=600)
- Reasons for low WTP in general more economic/technical than cultural
- Revenue scenarios promising, but large variations in the WTP between different farming systems (→ targeted production & promotion).



Water for a food-secure world



Variations between farming systems

- Peri-urban farming system: more tenure security than urban farmers, more interest in long-term soil fertility build-up.
- Maize-cassava shifting cultivation, or short term vegetables: interest in quick nutrient injection, not in long-term benefits.
- Smallholders: large group but low WTP; compared new product with their current product (cheap poultry manure).
- Plantations: high demand and WTP; compared with industrial fertilizer, but also high quality and packaging requirements.
- Irrigation &. Compost = increased water holding capacity: Good or bad?







Summary (II)

- Urban agriculture only a tiny market; peri-urban farming systems much higher demand.
- Construction and plantation sectors are premium customers for any significant compost sale.
- Smallholder needs could be subsidized through the demand from premium customers (pro-poor).
- In contrast to plantations, real estate sector has low requirements on quality and packaging.
- Big Plus: Plantations and estate developers have their own transport capacities.







Regional analysis

Distribution of Farmers by Acceptability of FS Co-Compost (n=600)

| City | Kumasi | Accra | Tamale |
|----------------|--------|-------|--------|
| FS acceptable | 83 % | 74 % | 84 % |
| Unacceptable | 17 % | 26 % | 16 % |
| Illegal FS use | _ | + | +++ |
| common (raw) | | | |
| Competing | +++ | ++ | + |
| products (PM,) | | | |





Water for a food-secure world



The authors would like to express their gratitude to:

- Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation for funding this research;
- All national partners!



Many thanks for your kind attention !





Water for a food-secure world

