

SIMPYFYING PROTOZOA ASSESSMENT IN WATER TREATMENT STSTEMS

Dr. Sandhya Shrivastava, Associate Professor of Microbiology, Bhavan's College, Coordinator, Bhavan's Research Center, Mumbai Ssndhya_s10@brcmicrobiology.in



Water-Borne Illnesses...

- Causes more than 2.2 million deaths per year
- About 1.4 millions of these deaths are children
- Worldwide, an economic loss of nearly 12 billion US dollars per year is estimated



Waterborne Pathogens...



| Micro-organisms | Major diseases | Persistence in water | |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|
| Bacteria | | | |
| Salmonella spp | Typhoid, paratyphoid, gatroenteritis | Moderate/may multiply | |
| Vibrio cholerae | Gastroenteritis, cholera | Short to long | |
| Pathogenic <i>E. coli</i> | Acute diarrhoea, bloody diarrhoea and gastroenteritis | Moderate | |
| Virus | | | |
| Rotavirus | Gastroenteritis | Long | |
| Adenovirus | Gastroenteritis | Long | |
| Norovirus | Gastroenteritis | Long | |
| Protozoa | | | |
| Giardia | Gastroenteritis | Moderate | |
| Cryptosporidium | Gastroenteritis | Long | |

Need for Assessment





- Surveillance/monitoring
- Microbial risk assessment
- Outbreak Investigation
- Source tracking
- Track Emerging Waterborne Pathogens

Protozoa in Water



- Of 1428 waterborne disease outbreaks worldwide (1991 -2008), 49.6%-bacteria, 39.3%-viruses, 11.1%- parasites (Yang et al, 2012).
- Of the protozoa, Cryptosporidium spp are most resistant to chemical inactivation, therefore it is used as the reference culture for assessing technologies used for inactivation of protozoa.
- Most disinfection based studies done with chlorine, however, Cryptosporidium is resistant to chlorine at concentrations typically applied for pathogen inactivation (Russel et al, 2003; WHO, 2003).
- Inadequate data on presence of protozoan pathogens in water and lack of correlation with bacterial indicators



Water purification methods

Exclusion based: filtrations including depth and membrane filters Disinfection based: Chlorine, Chlorine Dioxide, Ozone, UV, etc

Issues of concern in evaluating water for total Microbial safety:



- 2. Non-bacterial Pathogens: Viruses and protozoa: their occurrence is low, thus require concentration from large volumes of water.
- 3. Detection systems are highly competency driven.









Methods to Evaluate efficiency of water treatment Systems to make water Protozoa Safe

- 1. Exclusion: Irradiated cysts of Cryptosporidium, Giardia
- 2. Inactivation/Disinfection based:
 - a. UV: Bacteriophage MS2 most resistant to UV, so used are reference microorganism for all 3 groups
 - b. Chemical disinfectants: Live protozoa like Cryptosporidium & Giardia recommended by WHO, EPA, NSF.
 - Use of Surrogates: WHO and other agencies recommended surrogates:
 - a. Microspheres for exclusion (well accepted)
 - b. Clostridium perfringens & Bacillus subtilis disinfectants??

Our Study Focus: Design effective Surrögate When ozone is the disinfectant

- **Chlorine**: limited effect on inactivating protozoa
- **Ozone**, **UV** more effective, specially *Cryptosporidium* (EPA, 1999; Russel et al, 2003)
- Presently recommended surrogates: Conflicting reports on their suitability and most data are with Cl (Hijnen et al,2002; Kaymak et al,2005).

Objective of the present study:

 Designing a simple, low cost surrogate, with ozone resistance equivalent to that of real pathogen







Methodology & Results

- Bacterial spore formers were isolated from environments exposed to ozone and their ozone resistance was determined through ozone disinfection experiments
- Inactivation profile of Cryptosporidium was determined in water in presence of ozone
- Based on resistance pattern obtained, 3 spore-forming bacteria which gets reduced by 3 log cycles at ozone concentrations similar to that of *Cryptosporidium* (11-12 ppm, as reported in litrature)
- Recommended strain of *B.subtilis* ATCC 6633 gets reduced by 3 log cycles at concentration much lower ozone 6ppm

Conventional VS **Surrogate**



- Requires live protozoa
- Procurement of protozoaextremely difficult
- Requires lab set-up for protozoa cultivation, detection using immunoflorescence, molecular methods
- Special training for protozoa handling, detection
- Extremely expensive (10-20X)
- Either not used, or being used only at terminal stage of product development
- Regulatory Monitoring ??

- Bacterial Spore adequate
- Not so for bacteria
- Basic microbiology lab set-up adequate

- Basic microbiology training adequate, minimum safety issue
- Economical
- Can be used for standardizing treatment systems at all stages of product development
- Quite Feasible



What Next..

- Molecular identification of the selected isolates- to ensure non-pathogenic strain
- Standardization of sporulation and protocol development and validation for its usage by simple labs
- Submit standardization data to EPA & WHO for initialization of the isolate/s in standards
- Check the isolate/s for resistance to other disinfectants, viz Chlorine, Bromine, Chorine dioxides, etc and have broader/more universal application







sandhya_s10@brcmicrobiology.in

Bacterial & non- Bacterial: Detection Systems

- Cultivation:
- a. Bacteria- Classical
- b. Virus & Protozoa- Cell culture

- Molecular
- a. PCR
- b. qPCR
- c. Multiplex PCR
- d. Metagenomics- unknown etiology

Pathogen Detection in Water







Area for Collaborations..



- Comprehensive water quality surveillance to:
 - 1. Identify the indigenous pathogens
 - 2. Formulate water treatment strategies
 - 3. Review existing water quality specifications
- Develop cost-effective methodologies for detection of non-bacterial pathogens & include them in surveillance programs
- National capacity building for implementation of water safety strategies

About Bhavan's Research Center

- Unique Experimentation in the Andheri, Campus of Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan
- Partnership between Microbiology Department of Bhavan's College and SPJIMR, the management school to set up a lab for Academia-Industry partnership with internal funding, on a self-sustaining basis
- The lab now, set in an area of 9000 sqft , is ISO 17025 (NABL) accredited and works actively with industries in the areas Food, Water and Hygiene
- R&D projects and analysis are handled by a dedicated pool of trained staff or students, under constant supervision of faculty
- Focus Area:
- 1. Antimicrobial studies for hygiene based products
- 2. Predictive Microbiology
- 3. QMRA
- 4. Pathogen detection and evaluation, including biosensor-based technology
- 5. Building capability in non-bacterial pathogens detection and evaluation in foods and water
- 6. Collaborating with national and international scientists in areas of Water & food safety, surrogate designing for protozoa, bacteriophage application for reducing pathogens in water contaminated with sewage

Prior work by the group in water

- Member of BIS technical committee for water purifier evaluation protocol development
- Lab validation of protocol for assessment of water purifiers for WQA-Completed
- Part of a group for rapid detection of coliform using mobile kit- Phase 1 completed
- Evaluation of the slime removal of the water purifier testing units to bring about bacterial reduction- **Completed**
- Standardization of Rapid Coliphage assay using β-galactosidase and applying it for testing performance of water purifiers- Completed
- Feasibility study of using bacteriophage for reduction of enteric pathogens in domestic sewage and wastewater effluent-Supported by CPCB –on-going
- Monitoring and controlling the water quality of Bhavan's College lake for recreational purpose, using Cholrine dioxide- **on-going**
- Evaluation of Commercial available coliform detection/enumeration kits- Ongoing
- Designing bacterial surrogate to monitor inactivation of Cryptosporidium by ozonation

Ct Values (mgCmin/L) for Cryptosporidium Inactivation by Chlorine Dioxide1

| | Log Credit | Water Temperature, " C | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|--|
| | | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | |
| Chlorine Dioxide | 0.5 | 138 | 89 | 58 | 38 | 24 | |
| | 1.0 | 277 | 179 | 116 | 75 | 49 | |
| | 2.0 | 553 | 357 | 232 | 150 | 98 | |
| | 3.0 | 830 | 536 | 347 | 226 | 147 | |

Ct Values (mgCmin/L) for Cryptosporidium Inactivation by Ozone²

| Ozone | 0.5 | 4.9 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 0.78 |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| | 1.0 | 9.9 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 2.5 | 1.6 |
| | 2.0 | 20 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 4.9 | 3.1 |
| | 3.0 | 30 | 12 | 12 | 7.4 | 4.7 |

USEPA - Long Term 2 Surface Water Treatment Rule - 2005 Simplyfying Protozoa Assessment...Systems

References

- 1. Daniels M., A. Shrivastava, W. A Smith, P. Sahu, M. Odagiri, P. Misra, P. Panigrahi, M. Suar, T. Clasen, M. Jenkins. 2015. *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* in Humans, Domestic Animals and Village Water Sources in Rural India. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg., **93**(3):596–600
- 2. Gadgil A. 1988. Drinking water in developing countries. Annu Rev Energy Environ ;23:253–286.
- **3.** Kaymak B., C.N. Hass. 2005. Assessment of *B. subtilis* as a Surrogate for Inactivation Efficiency of Ozone on *C. parvum* and *G. muris.* Proceedings of water environment federation. Disinfection **23**: 77-99
- 4. Nair G.B., T. Ramamurthy, M.K. Bhattacharya, T. Krishnan, S. Ganguly, D.R. Saha et al. 2010. Emerging trends in the etiology of enteric pathogens as evidenced from an active surveillance of hospitalized diarrhoeal patients in Kolkata, India. *Gut Pathogens*. **2**:4.
- 5. Putignani L., D. Menichella. 2010. Global distribution, public health and clinical impact of the protozoan pathogen cryptosporidium. *Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis*.753512.

4/22/2016

- **6. Russell A.D.**, 2003. Similarities and differences in the responses of microorganisms to biocides. Journal of Antibiotic Chemotherapy **52**: 750–763.
- 7. Sarkar R., J. E. Tate, S. S. R. Ajjampur, D. Kattula, J. John. H. D. Ward, G. Kang . 2014. Burden of Diarrhea, Hospitalization and Mortality Due to Cryptosporidial Infections in Indian Children. PLOS Neglected Tropical Diseases. 8 :7.
- 8. USEPA Guidance Manual Alternative Disinfectants and Oxidants. April 1999.
- **9. World Health Organization and Organisation for Economic Co-operation.** 2003. Assessing Microbial Safety of Drinking Water – Improving approaches and methods. Water Quality Series.
- **10. World Health Organization.** 2003. Water Disinfection. Pan American Center for Sanitary Engineering and Environmental Sciences.
- 11. Yang K., J. LeJeune, D. Alsdorf, B. Lu, C.K. Shum. 2012. Global Distribution of Outbreaks of Water-Associated Infectious Diseases. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 6(2): e1483.