



SFD Lite Report

Kishoreganj Municipality Bangladesh

This SFD Lite Report was prepared by
CWIS-FSM Support Cell, DPHE

Date of production/ last update: 20/10/2021

1 The SFD Graphic




Figure 1: SFD Graphic for Kishoreganj municipality.

2 SFD Lite information

Produced by:

- Dr. Abdullah Al-Muyeed, Chief Operating Officer, CWIS-FSM Support Cell, Department of Public Health Engineering (DPHE), Shishir Kumar Biswas, Project Director, *Feasibility for Implementing of Solid Waste and Faecal Sludge Management System in 53 District Level Municipalities and 8 City Corporations*, Department of Public Health Engineering (DPHE) and Suman Kanti Nath, Technical Expert, CWIS-FSM Support Cell, DPHE, Bangladesh.

Collaborating partners:

- DevCon, Tiller and Kishoreganj municipality played vital roles in collecting and sharing data, and producing this SFD graphic and SFD lite report.

Date of production: 20/10/2021

3 General city information

Kishoreganj is a city and the district headquarters of Kishoreganj District in the division of Dhaka, Bangladesh. Kishoreganj is a fast-growing city, which is 97.8 km away from North-East of Dhaka (Figure 2). It is beside the Narashunda River and is well connected with road, water, and railways. It is one of the oldest towns in the sub-continent and was declared Municipality in 1869. Kishoreganj is one of the 53 district-level Municipality in the country.

Table 1: City profile (Source: KII with the Secretary, Kishoreganj Municipality).

Population parameters	
Estimated population, 2020	129,503
Households, 2020	4.57
Area, sq. km	15.57
Total roads, km	122.80
Total drains, km	94.91



Figure 2: Kishoreganj municipality location map (BBS/ GIS report 2017).




Figure 3: Kishoreganj municipality ward boundary map (BBS/ GIS report 2017).

According to the population census in 2011 by the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS), the Kishoreganj city population was 103,798. The urban population growth in Kishoreganj is 4.57% per year. Considering 10% floating population, such as farmers and traders, comes to the city every day, the present (2021) population is estimated to be around 142,453.

The Municipality covers an area of 15.57 square kilometres. At present Kishoreganj Municipality has a 122.80 km of road out of which 82.93 km is bituminous road, 29.40 km is Reinforced Cement Concrete (RCC) road, and 10.37 km is earthen road. The city has about 94.91 km of drain which includes 16.56 km of brick drain, 51.79 km of RCC drain, and 26.56 km of earthen drain ¹(Table 1).

The geographical coordinates of Kishoreganj are 24°26'21.98" N 90°46'58.48" E². According to the Bangladesh Meteorological Department (BMD) (1981-2017), the city area and surrounding area is

¹ Source: 'At a Glance: Kishoreganj Municipality', by municipal office

² Source: <https://www.gps-latitude-longitude.com/gps-coordinates>

characterized by warm, humid summers and cool, and dry winters. There is no climatological station within the Municipality. The closest meteorological station of the Bangladesh Meteorological Department is located in Mymenshigh which is about 48 km away from the scheme area. Weather data from these two stations is collected from 1981 to 2017. About 90% of the total annual rainfall occurs in the period from May through October and the driest months of the years are November to March. The maximum mean temperature observed is 31.1-32.3°C between April-August, with the minimum mean temperatures found to be between 11.8-13.3°C in December-January. The annual average rainfall is about 2,253 mm, according to BMD (1981-2017)³.

Brahmaputra River passed by the western boundary of the Municipality and Narashunda River flows through the Municipality. According to the flood zoning map of Bangladesh, the Municipality area is in a flood-free zone (in the last 12 years no flooding event happened). However, the drainage network of the city is not adequate⁴. There are some secondary drains caring storm water and domestic wastewater to the outfalls of the rivers and canals.

The ward boundary map and the population density in the 9 wards of the city are shown in Figure 3 and Figure 4. The density is high in the South-East, ranging from 11,001 to 11,935 per sq. km. The population density in the North is lower, ranging from 3,657 to 5,000 per sq. km⁵.




Figure 4: Population density in different Wards of Kishoreganj municipality. Source: Population Census (2011) by the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS).

³ <http://bmd.gov.bd/p/Rainfall-Situation-202>

⁴ KII and field visit during Baseline survey 2020

⁵ KII and field visit during Baseline survey 2020

4 Service outcomes

Kishoreganj Municipality, Kishoreganj, Bangladesh, 18 Oct 2021. SFD Level: SFD Lite

Population: 142400

Proportion of tanks: septic tanks: 65%, fully lined tanks: 0%, lined, open bottom tanks: 100%

Containment								
System type	Population	WW transport	WW treatment	FS emptying	FS transport	FS treatment	SN transport	SN treatment
	Pop	W4c	W5c	F3	F4	F5	S4e	S5e
System label and description	Proportion of population using this type of system (p)	Proportion of wastewater in open sewer or storm drain system, which is delivered to treatment plants	Proportion of wastewater delivered to treatment plants, which is treated	Proportion of this type of system from which faecal sludge is emptied	Proportion of faecal sludge emptied, which is delivered to treatment plants	Proportion of faecal sludge delivered to treatment plants, which is treated	Proportion of supernatant in open drain or storm sewer system, which is delivered to treatment plants	Proportion of supernatant in open drain or storm sewer system that is delivered to treatment plants, which is treated
T1A1C6 Toilet discharges directly to open drain or storm sewer	7.3	0.0	0.0					
T1A2C5 Septic tank connected to soak pit	3.6			63.0	0.0	0.0		
T1A2C6 Septic tank connected to open drain or storm sewer	40.0			49.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
T1A2C7 Septic tank connected to open water body	5.2			49.0	0.0	0.0		
T1A2C8 Septic tank connected to open ground	6.8			49.0	0.0	0.0		
T1A2C9 Septic tank connected to 'don't know where'	1.4			49.0	0.0	0.0		
T1A4C10 Lined tank with impermeable walls and open bottom, no outlet or overflow	6.4			18.0	0.0	0.0		
T1A5C10 Lined pit with semi-permeable walls and open bottom, no outlet or overflow	25.0			95.0	0.0	0.0		
T1B10 C7 TO C9 Containment (septic tanks, fully lined tanks, partially lined tanks and pits, and unlined pits) failed, damaged, collapsed or flooded - connected to water bodies, or open ground or 'don't know where'	1.0			0.0	0.0	0.0		
T1B10C6 Containment (septic tanks, fully lined tanks, partially lined tanks and pits, and unlined pits) failed, damaged, collapsed or flooded - connected to open drain or storm sewer	2.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
T1B8C10 Pit (all types), never emptied, abandoned when full but NOT adequately covered with soil, no outlet or overflow	1.3							

Table 2: SFD Matrix for Kishoreganj municipality.

The outcome of the SFD graphic shows that only nine percent (9%) of the excreta flow is classified as safely managed, and the remaining ninety-one (91%) percent is classified as unsafely managed. (Figure 1). The unsafely managed excreta originate from wastewater not delivered to treatment (7%), Faecal Sludge (FS) emptied, not delivered to treatment (43%), FS not contained - not emptied (26%) and 15% of supernatant not delivered to treatment. The safely managed excreta originate from FS contained - not emptied (9%).

The percentages presented in Table 1 and discussed in the next section are based on data collected through household (HH) surveys, Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) and Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) (Figure 5 and Figure 9).

Overview on technologies and methods used for different sanitation systems through the sanitation service chain is as follows:

4.1 Offsite Systems

The city does not have a dedicated sewerage system. However, during field observation and HH survey, it was found that there are certain areas where toilets are directly connected to open drains or storm sewer. Similarly, a portion of septic tanks is directly connected to open drains or storm sewer. Therefore, T1A1C6 system is considered as 7.3% of the total population of the city to generate the SFD graphic. Similarly, T1A2C6 system is considered as 40% of the total population of the city to generate the SFD graphic. In the absence of a sewerage system, the faecal sludge in T1A1C6 and the supernatant in T1A2C6 are directly discharged untreated into the river or the environment.

4.2 On-site Sanitation Systems



Figure 5: Household survey and consultations. Left: Household survey. Right: Consultation meeting.
(Source: Feasibility study 2020-21/DPHE).

Containment: Almost all the households (97.75%) in the city have their own latrine which is connected to single pits, twin pits, septic tanks, or discharged directly into the environment (e.g. open-drain or storm sewer). The rest of the households use community latrines (1%) and neighbour's toilets (1.25%). From a household survey, it was found that over half of the city population (57.05%) uses septic tanks as the containment system, 25% of the toilets have single pit systems, and 6.4% of people use double pits in the city, 1% of toilets have containments (septic tanks, fully lined tanks, partially lined tanks and pits, and unlined pits) that have failed, damaged, collapsed or flooded which are connected to water bodies, or open ground or 'don't know where' (T1B10 C7 TO C9), 2% of toilets have containments (septic tanks, fully lined tanks, partially lined tanks and pits, and unlined pits) that have failed, damaged, collapsed or flooded, which are connected to open drain or storm sewer (T1B10C6), 1.3% of toilets have pits (all types), never emptied, abandoned when full but not adequately covered with soil, no outlet or overflow (T1B8C10), and 7.3% do not have any type of containment and discharges directly to the environment (KII, FGDs, HH survey, 2020).

According to the type of connectivity and features of containment technologies, the discharging points of the toilets are categorized as: 3.6% of the population uses septic tanks connected to soak pits (T1A2C5), 40% of the population uses septic tanks connected to open drain (T1A2C6), 5.2% of the population uses septic tanks connected to open water bodies (T1A2C7), 6.8% of the population uses septic tanks connected to open ground (T1A2C8), 1.4% of the population uses septic tanks connected to 'don't know where' (T1A2C9), 6.4% of the population uses lined tanks with impermeable walls and open bottom no outlet or overflow (T1A4C10) and 25% of the population relies on lined pits with semi-

permeable walls and open bottom with no outlet or overflow (T1A5C10). Nearly, 1% of toilets have containments (septic tanks, fully lined tanks, partially lined tanks and pits, and unlined pits) that have failed, damaged, collapsed or flooded and connected to water bodies, or open ground or 'don't know where' (T1B10 C7 TO C9), 2% of toilets have containments (septic tanks, fully lined tanks, partially lined tanks and pits, and unlined pits) that have failed, damaged, collapsed or flooded, and connected to open drain or storm sewer (T1B10C6) and 1.3% of toilets have pits (all types), never emptied, abandoned when full but not adequately covered with soil, no outlet or overflow (T1B8C10) (KII, FGDs, HH survey, 2020). Thus, at the containment stage, the city's excreta of only 35% of the population are contained. Figure 6 shows pictures of these technologies in use.



Figure 6: Containment technologies and their connections in Kishoreganj. Left: Septic tank connected to a nearby water body. Right: Toilet pipe connected to open drain. (Source: Feasibility study 2020-21/DPHE).

Groundwater Pollution: The groundwater level below the ground surface is 5-6 m. The most common drinking water production technology is a borehole with a hand pump or motorized pump. 35% of the households use their own tube well fitted with electric motor and 47% use their own hand pump tube well. Only a few households (13%) are supplied with piped water.




Figure 7: Soil profile in Kishoreganj district and location of tube well screen.

Lateral separation between sanitation facilities and water sources varies from one area to another. The main source of drinking water is tube well. Tube wells of different sizes and depths are generally used to pump water from the confined aquifers. During the household visit and FGDs, it was found that less than 25% of sanitation facilities are located within 10 metres from the groundwater source. Besides, due to the geographical situation, sanitation facilities are not located uphill of the groundwater sources. According to a survey report on ‘Hydrogeological screening, slug test and geophysical logging on observation well units’, conducted by the Department of Public Health Engineering (DPHE), drinking water is collected from the confined aquifer (15 m – 200 m) through pumps. Therefore, a low risk of groundwater contamination is considered in the city.

Emptying: Households relying on septic tanks have to arrange themselves for emptying of the septic tank. It was observed from the baseline survey that most of the septic tanks have been constructed in the last 4-6 years. According to the survey from 2020, the frequency of emptying of septic tanks or covered pits varies from 1 to 10 years depending upon the size, uses, etc.

However, about 63% of the septic tanks, connected to the soak pit are emptied within 2-5 years. About 49% of the septic tanks connected to open drains, open ground or water bodies are emptied within 4-5 years. Almost 95% of single pit latrines and 18% of double pit latrines are emptied within 1-2 years. Besides the above information, it was also revealed during the discussion in FGDs and household visits, that the demand for desludging septic tanks would increase shortly. Desludging of the septic tanks or pits is mostly (95%) done by private sweepers. Only in a few households, desludging is done by family members (5%). Around 76% of this withdrawal is done manually using a bucket and rope. Besides, 21% use electric pumps and 3% use manual pumps. The manual method has high risks for the health and safety of the workers. These reflect the absence of safe and improved technologies for sludge emptying⁶. There is no mechanical emptying service in this municipality.




Figure 8: Year of septic tanks construction.

Transportation: The sludge withdrawn from the septic tanks and latrine pits by the cleaners is disposed of in various places. Based on the survey from 2020, it was observed that about 57% of the respondents who use any kind of containment system, informed that faecal sludge (sludge from the septic tank or covered pit latrines) is disposed of in a dug hole covered with soil away from the house. Besides, 33% of sludge is disposed into the open environment like a canal, river, drain and open ground.

Treatment/Disposal: Presently, there are no excreta treatment facilities in Kishoreganj town.

⁶ In the last few years, mechanical vacuum trucks have been provided to several municipal authorities from different government and non-government sources. But municipal authorities have shortage of expert manpower and service delivery mechanism to operate the vehicles. In recent years, the situation is improving. Institutional Regulatory Framework (IRF) and National Action Plan (NAP) have been approved by government. Different service delivery and business models have been developed in few cities. Capacity building program of local government institutions are conducted by governmental institutions and development partners. A significant improvement in Faecal Sludge Management (FSM) will be found within few years.



4.3 Open Defecation:

From HH surveys, KIIs and FGDs, it was found that 100% of citizens use any kind of toilet in the Municipality. Thus, from the sanitation point of view, the town is considered an open defecation-free town.

5 Data and assumptions

The baseline survey conducted in October 2020 contains detailed data on different stages of the sanitation value chain. The SFD matrix is generated from these data, collected during sample household surveys, along with informal interviews, open-ended consultations, key informant interviews and focus group discussions with the municipality officials, town level coordination committee, households, social workers, business persons, pit emptiers and the citizens including women in all the wards of the municipality. The SFD matrix was generated from these data. Finally, data from all these sources were triangulated to produce the SFD matrix, the SFD graphic and the SFD lite report.

The last census was carried out about 10 years ago. So, the actual population, household, and sanitation data are not updated yet. Most of the households with septic tanks do not know the actual type, size, and design desludging periods. Also, a large number of pit users are unaware of the emptying events and frequency of their pit emptying. Due to all these data gaps, some assumptions have been made to produce the SFD graphic. These assumptions were shared with key informants at the municipality and accepted by them.

Following assumptions were made for developing the SFD graphic for Kishoreganj municipality:

- ✓ The proportion of FS in septic tanks, fully line tanks, and line, open bottom tanks are considered 65%, 0%, and 100% respectively as per the guidance given in the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) in the Sustainable Sanitation Alliance (SuSanA) website.
- ✓ According to the population census in 2011 by the Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS), the Kishoreganj city population was 103,798. The urban population growth in Kishoreganj is 4.57% per year. Considering 10% floating population, such as farmers and traders, comes to the city every day, the present (2021) population is estimated to be around 142,453.
- ✓ There are around 6.4% of twin pit latrines in the containment system. So, it is assumed that all these twin pit containment technologies are defined as a lined tank with impermeable walls and open bottom (system T1A4C10, 6.4%). A portion of the twin pit latrines is found to be emptied within 1-2 years. Based on the household survey, variable F3 for system T1A4C10 is set to 18%.
- ✓ There are around 25% of single pit latrines in the containment systems. So, it is assumed that all these single pit containment technologies are defined as lined pits with semi-permeable walls and open bottom, no outlet or overflow (system T1A5C10, 25%). Most of the single pit latrines are found to be emptied within 1-2 years. Based on the household survey, variable F3 for system T1A5C10 was set to 95%.
- ✓ 3.6% of septic tanks are connected to soak pits (system T1A2C5). They are well-constructed as per the field visit observation. The risk of groundwater contamination was deemed low, therefore that option was selected in the SFD Matrix. Around 63% of HHs have emptied their septic tank with a soak pit with a desludging frequency of 2-5 years. Based on the household survey, variable F3 for system T1A2C5 is set to 63%.
- ✓ There are 53.4% of septic tanks connected to the open drain, water bodies, open ground and 'don't know where', which are emptied within 2-5 years. Based on the household survey, variable F3 for systems T1A2C6, T1A2C7, T1A2C8 and T1A2C9 are set to 49%.
- ✓ 1% of the toilets have containments (septic tanks, fully lined tanks, partially lined tanks and pits, and unlined pits) that have failed, damaged, collapsed, or flooded which are connected to water bodies, or open ground or 'don't know where' (T1B10 C7 TO C9). Based on the household survey, variable F3 for this system is set to 0%.
- ✓ 2% of toilets have containments (septic tanks, fully lined tanks, partially lined tanks and pits, and unlined pits) that have failed, damaged, collapsed or flooded, which are connected to open drain or storm sewer (T1B10C6). Based on the household survey, variable F3 for the system is set to 0%.



- ✓ 1.3% of toilets have pits (all types), never emptied, abandoned when full but not adequately covered with soil, no outlet or overflow (T1B8C10).
- ✓ Wastewater in T1A1C6 and supernatant in T1A2C6 are directly discharged into the river or the environment untreated. Therefore, variables W4c, W5c, S4e and S5e were set to 0%.
- ✓ Since there are no wastewater or faecal sludge treatment facilities in the town and all the collected FS is disposed untreated into the environment, variables F4 and F5 for all systems are considered to be 0%.

6 List of Sources

Reports, literature and website

- Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS), 2011.
- Population and Housing Census, 2011.
- Baseline Survey of the project “Feasibility for Implementing of Solid Waste and Faecal Sludge Management System in 53 District Level Municipalities and 8 City Corporations”, Department of Public Health Engineering (DPHE), Dhaka, Bangladesh. (December 2020)
- Report on ‘Hydrogeological Screening, Slug Test And Geophysical Logging on Observation Well Units’ under *Bangladesh Rural Water Supply And Sanitation Project (BRWSSP)*, Arsenic Management Division, Department Of Public Health Engineering (DPHE) (March 2017)
- MANAGING MUNICIPAL WASTE: APPLICATION OF SPATIAL TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES Showmitra Kumar Sarkar* and Md. Esraz-Ul-Zannat; Department of Urban and Regional Planning, Khulna University of Engineering & Technology, Bangladesh; Journal of Engineering Science 10(1), 2019, 113-122
- The revised ‘National Strategy for Water Supply and Sanitation, 2021’
- ‘At a Glance: Kishoreganj Municipality’, by municipal office
- <https://www.gps-latitude-longitude.com/gps-coordinates><http://bmd.gov.bd/p/Rainfall-Situation-202>

Key Informant Interviews (KII) from September 2020 to March 2021

- KII with Mayor, Kishoreganj Municipality.
- KII with Secretary, Kishoreganj Municipality.
- KII with Conservancy Inspector, Kishoreganj Municipality.
- KII with Councilor, Kishoreganj Municipality.
- Facilitators: Md. Mynul Islam Hemel, Field Coordinator, Tiller.

Focus Group Discussions (FGD) from September 2020 to March 2021

- A group of representatives from the Bazar Committee.
- Sweepers and waste collectors.
- A group of representatives from Educational Institutions.
- Masons Association (septic tank builders).



Figure 9: Focus Group Discussions in Kishoreganj (Source: *Feasibility study 2020-21/DPHE*).

Additional information

- This report was compiled as part of the Baseline Survey of the project, ***“Feasibility for Implementing of Solid Waste and Faecal Sludge Management System in 53 District Level Municipalities and 8 City Corporations” DPHE.***
- We would like to thank Mr. Md. Pervez Mia, Mayor, Kishoreganj Municipality, Md. Hasan Zakir, Secretary, Ms. Jannatul Ferdous Ara, Urban Planner, Md. Rafiqul Islam, Assistant Engineer, Kishoreganj municipality for providing all the required primary and secondary data and cooperating for Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) & Focused Group Discussions (FGDs).
- We would like to thank Mr. Mohiuddin Ahmed, Mayor, Kishoreganj Municipality, Md. Helal Uddin, Secretary, Kishoreganj Municipality and Md. Jasim Uddin Arju, Executive Engineer, Kishoreganj municipality for providing all the required primary and secondary data and cooperating for Key Informant Interviews (KIIs) & Focused Group Discussions (FGDs). This report would not have been possible to produce without the constant support of Mr. Mohiuddin Ahmed, Mayor, Kishoreganj Municipality, who helped in conducting sample surveys and FGDs in the field.
- We also acknowledge the support of the Centre for Science and Environment, India for the promotion of SFD in Bangladesh.

Kishoreganj Municipality, Bangladesh, 2021

Produced by:

Dr. Abdullah Al-Muyeed, Chief Operating Officer, CWIS-FSM Support Cell

Shishir Kumar Biswas, Project Director, Feasibility for Implementing of Solid Waste and Faecal Sludge Management System in 53 District Level Paurashavas and 8 City Corporations

Suman Kanti Nath, Technical Expert, CWIS-FSM Support Cell

Department of Public Health Engineering (DPHE), Bangladesh

© Copyright

All SFD Promotion Initiative materials are freely available following the open-source concept for capacity development and non-profit use, so long as proper acknowledgement of the source is made when used. Users should always give credit in citations to the original author, source and copyright holder.

This SFD lite report is available from:

www.sfd.susana.org

SFD Promotion Initiative

